

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,017	11/03/2003	James P. Stephan	9168A	2148
7590 02/21/2006		EXAMINER		
John M. Harrison			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI	
2139 E. Bert Kouns Shreveport, LA 71105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J			1724	

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/699,017	STEPHAN, JAMES P.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 De</u>	ecember 2005.				
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
C	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4; 5)□ (6)図 (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
10)□ TI A	he specification is objected to by the Examiner he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accesspolicant may not request that any objection to the correction drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s						
`	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice (3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-8 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell (4,334,899), in view of Gorden (6,790,137 B2).

McConnell discloses an air return grille (16) for seating in an air return opening (col. 1, lines 7-8) adapted for mounting at least one filter (24) comprising a frame (16) defined by a pair of side frame members, a top frame member (44) and a bottom frame member (46), a plurality of inwardly facing spaced apart slat seats (48, 50) provided in the side frame members (40, 42), and a plurality of slats (52) seating on the slat seats (48, 50) (see col. 3, lines 42-48). McConnell further discloses the slat seats (48, 50) disposed at a selected angle with respect to the longitudinal axis of the side members (col. 3, lines 50-56). Claims 1-8 and 15-24 differ from the disclosure of McConnell in that the filter assembly having a centerbar with a plurality of spaced apart slat openings disposed between side members. Gorden discloses three centerbars with a plurality of spaced apart slat openings disposed between side members (30). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of centerbars as taught by Gorden in the filter apparatus of McConnell since it is well-known in the art that the centerbar not only locks the filtering member in the frame, but also reinforces the filtering member against rearward budging under pressure produced by the air drawn or forced through the filter.

Page 3

Claims 9-14 and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell (4,334,899), in view of Gorden (6,790,137 B2), as applied supra, and further in view of either Lackey et al (6,918,940 B1) or Vogt et al (4,689,058).

Claims 9-14 and 25-30 call for retainer clips mounted on the filter frame for removably mounting the filter on the frame. Lackey et al disclose filter retainer clips (32 in Fig. 3, col. 2, lines 10-32) mounted on the filter frame (12) for removably mounting the filter (26) on the frame (12). Vogt et al disclose filter retainer clips (20 in Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 28-39) mounted on the filter frame (10) for removably mounting the filter (16) on the frame (10). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide retainer clips as taught by either Lackey et al or Vogt et al in the filter apparatus of McConnell and Gorden since the clips would facilitate easy mounting or removal of filter element onto or off the filter frame for filter replacement due to life or routine maintenance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on December 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that none of the cited prior arts discloses "a centerbar or centerbars, since more than one centerbar may be provided, is provided with multiple, spaced-apart slat openings". The Examiner now drops the secondary reference Chirstofferson and newly introduces Gorden as the secondary reference in combination with the primary reference McConnell to show three centerbars with a plurality of spaced apart slat openings disposed between side members (30), as claimed. It would

have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of centerbars as taught by Gorden in the filter apparatus of McConnell since it is well-known in the art that the centerbar not only locks the filtering member in the frame, but also reinforces the filtering member against rearward budging under pressure produced by the air drawn or forced through the filter.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been thoroughly considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, as discussed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1/724

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1724

February 16, 2006